

Translation from Romanian

TO:

I. BUCHAREST STOCK EXCHANGE

II. THE FINANCIAL REGULATOR

Current report in accordance with: Law 24/2017 on the issuers of financial instruments and market operations and ASF Regulation no. 5/2018 on the issuers of financial instruments and market operations.

Report date: 27.05.2021

Issuer's name: ELECTROARGES SA, BSE code: ELGS

Registered office: Curtea de Arges, str. Albești , nr. 12, jud. Arges

Telephone/fax number: 0248724000/0248724004

Trade Register Registration Code: RO156027

Trade Register Registration Number: J03/758/1991

Share capital subscribed and paid: 6,976,465.00 lei

Regulated Market trading the issued securities: BSE – main market, standard category

Important events to report:

Disputes - 27.05.2021

Electroarges S.A. in accordance with the provisions of Art. 234, letter l) of the ASF Regulation no. 5/2018 on the issuers of financial instruments and market operations informs the shareholders about the list of disputes in which the company is a party, updated on 27.05.2021:

ITEM NO.	CASE NO.	COURT	ADVERSE PARTY	OBJECT PROCEDURE	LAST TERM	CASE STATUS	MENTIONS
1	1058/1285/2018	Cluj Specialized Court	Scop Line SA, Mattera Com SA, Alfaline SA, Geochis SA, Prosanio SA, Debrocons SA, Lineas Trade SA, Fimansat SA, Amicosottis SA, Redont SRL, Altur SA, Marchis Corina Andreea, Gheorghe (former Papadopol) Ramona, Chirila Gheorghe, Bunu Antonel Cristi, Petcu Vasile, Andronache Gelu Defendants	Claims	13.04.2021	Second appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits:</p> <p>It admits the exception of the defendant's R SA lack of using procedural capacity and, consequently, rejects the request for summons formulated by the claimant Electroarges SA, as well as the counterclaim formulated by the defendant A SA against this defendant. Pursuant to art. 75 paragraph 1 the final thesis of Law no. 85/2014 terminates the civil action filed by the claimant Electroarges SA, as well as the counterclaim filed by the defendant A SA against the defendants Scope Line SRL, a company in insolvency procedure, judicial liquidator being S 2000 SPRL, Mattera Com SA, a company in insolvency procedure, judicial administrator being CII LCA, A SA, a company in insolvency procedure, judicial administrator being CII LCA, a company in insolvency procedure, judicial administrator being CII MVB and Prosaino SA, a company in insolvency procedure, judicial administrator being CII LCA. Takes note of the transaction concluded between the claimant Electroarges SA and the defendant A SA, which is an integral part of this decision, as follows: "...". Takes note of the transaction concluded between the claimant Electroarges SA and the defendant Gheorghe Ramona (former Papadopol), which is an integral part of this decision, as follows: "...". Takes note of the transaction concluded between the claimant Electroarges SA and the defendant Marchis Corina Andreea, which is an integral part of this decision, as follows: "...". Partially admits the lawsuit filed by the claimant Electroarges SA against the defendants Debrocons SA, Lineas Trade SRL, Filmansat SA, A SA, Bunu Antonel Cristi, Petcu Vasile and Andronache Gelu. Obliges the defendants Debrocons SA, Lineas Trade SRL, Filmansat SA, A SA, Bunu Antonel Cristi, Petcu Vasile and Andronache Gelu to each pay to the claimant Electroarges SA 263,865.93 lei as compensation, the amount at which the legal penalizing interest calculated according to art. 3 paragraph 3 of GO no. 13/2011 from the date of formulating the request for summons, respectively 29.11.2018, and until the full payment of the main debt by each of the defendants.</p> <p>Rejects the claimant request having as object the payment of the remunerative interest and the payment of the penalizing interest calculated according to art. 3 paragraph 1 of GO no. 13/2011. Obliges the defendants Debrocons SA, Lineas Trade SRL, Filmansat SA, A SA, Bunu Antonel Cristi, Petcu Vasile and Andronache Gelu to each pay each to the claimant 6,243.65 lei as court costs.</p> <p>Rejects as inadmissible the counterclaim filed by the defendant A SA against the defendants Debrocons SA, Lineas Trade SRL, Filmansat SA, A SA, Bunu Antonel Cristi, Petcu Vasile and Andronache Gelu. With the right to appeal within 30 days of the communication that will be submitted to the Cluj Specialized Court.</p> <p>Pronounced in public hearing on 02.06.2020</p>

							<p>Settlement in appeal: Solution type: Appeal rejection Solution in brief: Admits the exception of the lateness of the appeal submitted by B.A.C. and consequently: Rejects as late the appeal made by B.A.C. against the Civil Sentence no. 537 / 2.06.2020 of the Cluj Specialized Court. Rejects the appeals declared by D.SA, F.SA, A.SA and A.SA against the civil sentence no. 537 of 02.06.2020, pronounced in the file no. 1058/1285/2018 of the Cluj Specialized Court, and keeps it as it is. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication. The appeal request will be submitted, under the sanction of nullity, to the Cluj Court of Appeal. Pronounced in public hearing on 13.04.2021. Document: Decision 201/2021 13.04.2021</p>
2	587/1259/2017	Arges Specialized Court	Vidraru SA Debrocons SA Amattis Balac Gheorghe Interveners	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 request for intervention against the Electroarges SA's EGMS Decision of 11.12.2017	31.05.2018	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the objection of inadmissibility of the applications to intervene raised by the applicant ELECTROARGES S.A. Rejects the applications for leave to intervene. Admits the request for submission and mention of documents formulated by the petitioner ELECTROARGES S.A., based in Curtea de Argeş, str. Albeşti, no. 12, Argeş County, registered at the Trade Register Office attached to the Argeş County Court under no. 1012 / 09.01.2018 and orders the mention of the submission of the Extraordinary General Meeting of Shareholders' Decision no. 104 / 11.12.2017 and its publication in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part IV. With an appeal.</p>
3	147/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	Amattis SA Claimant	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention against the application for registration in the trade register of the Electroarges SA's OGMS Decision no. 1/28.04.2020	28.10.2020	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: It admits the exception of non-stamping regarding the request for intervention formulated by Amattis SA. Cancels the request for intervention formulated by Amattis SA, as unstamped. Admits the request for intervention formulated by Electroarges SA. Rejects the request for registration no. 28255 / 29.05.2020 of the mentions in the Trade Register attached to the Arges Court regarding Electroarges SA. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication, the request is submitted to the Arges Specialized Court.</p>
4	196/1259/2020	Arges Court of Appeal	Tudor Dumitru, Claimant	Authorization to summon the GMS	23.04.2021	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Partially admits the request. Pursuant to art. 119 paragraph 3 of Law 31/1990, it authorizes the summoning by the petitioner Tudor Dumitru of the Ordinary General Meeting of Electroarges SA's Shareholders Tax Code 156027. Approves the agenda of the Ordinary General Meeting of of Electroarges SA's Shareholders. Establishes the date of the first ordinary general meeting on 01.02.2021, at 10.00, and the date of the second ordinary general meeting on 02.02.2021, at 10.00. Establishes the place of the general meetings at the Electroarges SA's registered office in Curtea de Arges nr. 112 str. Albesti, Jud. Arges. Establishes that the sessions of the general meetings to be chaired by Tudor Dumitru. Remedy at law only the appeal within 30 days from the communication, the appeal request will be submitted to the Arges Specialized Court.</p> <p>The settlement is not enforceable.</p>

							<p>The settlement is not final.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Totally changed. Rejects the objection of lack of interest in making the appeal. Admits the appeal. It changes the sentence, in the sense that it rejects the action. Final.</p>
5	46/1259/2021	Arges Court	Tudor Dumitru Appellee	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 request for intervention to the application for registering mentions no. 8574 / 26.02.2021	09.06.2021	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Postpones the ruling The solution in brief: Postpones the ruling on 09.06.2021, for when it establishes that this will be done in accordance with the provisions of art. 396 paragraph (2) of the Code of Civil Procedure. Appeal with the merits. Pronounced in public hearing today, 25.05. 2015.</p>
6	67/1259/2021	Arges Court	Balac Gheorghe Appellee	Requests based on GEO	05.05.2021	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the application The solution in brief: Rejects the request for intervention formulated by the petitioner ELECTROARGES S.A., through the Chairman of the Board of Directors - Ștefan Constantin, to the application for registration in the Trade Register attached to the Argeș Court no. 13809 / 22.03.2021 formulated by Balac Gheorghe regarding the mentions concerning the company ELECTROARGES S.A., respectively "sentence no. 844 / 9.12.2020 - Argeș Specialized Court File no. 225/1259/2020 "and" sentence no. 845 / 9.12.2020 - Argeș Specialized Court File no. 226/1259/2020 ". It finds that the application for registration in the Trade Register attached to the Argeș Court no. 13809 / 22.03.2021 formulated by Balac Gheorghe regarding the mentions concerning the company ELECTROARGES S.A., respectively "sentence no. 844 / 9.12.2020 - Argeș Specialized Court File no. 225/1259/2020 "and" sentence no. 845 / 9.12.2020 - Argeș Specialized Court File no. 226/1259/2020 "was admitted by resolution no. 4993 / 23.03.2021 of the person designated by ONRC - ORC attached to the Argeș Court given in file no. 13809 / 22.03.2021 Enforceable. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication. The appeal request is submitted to the Argeș Specialized Court. Pronounced, by making the solution available to the parties by the court registry, today, May 5, 2021, at the Argeș Specialized Court</p>
7	12169/3/2021	Bucharest Court	Brain Oil Claimant	Finding document null and void	-	Merits	Pending
8	115/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Ammatis Intervener	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 request for intervention in the ORC Argeș file no. 23050 / 27.04.2021	10.06.2021	Merits	Pending

9	116/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Balac Gheorghe Intervener	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 request for intervention in the ORC Argeş file no. 23050 / 27.04.2021	10.06.2021	Merits	Pending
10	120/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Ammatis Intervener	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 request for intervention in the ORC Argeş file no. 23493 / 29.04.2021	22.06.2021	Merits	Pending
11	130/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Bran Oil SA Claimant	action for annulment of the GMS decision annulment of the Electroarges SA OGMS Decision. no. 110 / 26.04.2021 and the EGMS Decision no. 109 / 26.04.2021	-	Merits	Pending
12	131/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Bran Oil SA Claimant	presidential order suspending the enforcement of the Electroarges SA OGMS Decision no. 110 / 26.04.2021 and EGMS Decision no. 109 / 26.04.2021	02.06.2021	Merits	Pending
13	132/1259/2021	Arges Specialized Court	Amattis Claimant ORC Appellee Balac Gheorghe Complainant	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention in the ORC Argeş file no. 26739 / 12.05.2021	24.06.2021	Merits	Pending
14	167/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	Arges Trade Register Office Appellee	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention to the application for registering mentions no. 34060 of 18.06.2020 filed with the ORC attached to the Argeş County Court regarding Electroarges SA	04.11.2020	Merits	Settlement on the merits: Admits the request for intervention formulated by Electroarges SA. Rejects the request for registration Tribunal no. 34060 / 18.06.2020 of the mentions in the Trade Register attached to the Arges regarding Electroarges SA. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication, this is submitted to the Arges Specialized Court.

15	247/1259/2020*	High Court of Cassation and Justice	<p>Bran Oil S.A. Claimant</p> <p>Investments Constantin SRL Defendant</p>	Presidential Order	24.02.2021	Second appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Admits the exception of the lack of active procedural quality. Rejects the request, as being filed by a person without active procedural capacity. Rejects the exception of the lack of passive procedural quality, as being left without object. With an appeal within 5 days from the pronouncement</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Admits the appeal. Cancels the sentence and sends the case for retrial to the same court.</p> <p>Settlement on the merits (retrial): Rejects the application The solution in brief: Admits the exception of lack of interest. Rejects the application for a presidential order as lacking interest. Rejects the request of the defendant Investments Constantin SRL, regarding the obligation of the claimant to pay the court costs, as unfounded. Takes note of the statement of the defendant Electroargeş SA, regarding the separate claim for court costs. With the right to appeal within 5 days from the pronouncement. The appeal request will be submitted to the Arges Specialized, pronounced in accordance with the provisions of art. 396 paragraph (2) of the Code of Civil Procedure, today, 20.01.2021.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal (retrial): Admits the appeal The solution in brief: Admits the appeal filed by the appellant-claimant Bran Oil SA, against the appellees-defendants Electroargeş SA and Investments Constantin SRL. Cancels the appealed sentence, and, re-judging: It admits the exception of the lack of passive procedural capacity of the appellee-defendant Investments Constantin SRL. Admits, in part, the request for a presidential order. It orders the suspension of the execution of the Decision of the Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders of Electroargeş SA no.107 / 04.08.2020, only regarding point 4 and point 13 of the decision, until the final settlement of the file no. 238/1259/2020. Rejects the rest of the application for a presidential order. Obliges the claimant Bran Oil SA to pay to the defendant Investments Constantin SRL court costs in the amount of 4,165 lei, representing the fee paid by the defendant to his own lawyer. Final. Pronounced today, 24.02.2021, by making the solution available to the parties through the court registry</p>
16	238/1259/2020	Pitesti Court of Appeal	<p>Bran Oil S.A. Claimant</p> <p>Investments Constantin SRL Defendant</p> <p>Amattis SA Complainant</p>	Action for annulment of the GMS decision	09.04.2021	Appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Admits the exception of not stamping the request for accessory intervention formulated by Amattis SA, exception invoked ex officio by the court. Cancels, as unstamped, the request for accessory intervention formulated by Amattis SA. Rejects the exception of the lack of active procedural capacity of the claimant Bran Oil SA, exception invoked by the defendant Electroarges SA. Admits the exception of the lack of passive procedural quality of the defendant Investments Constantin SARRL, exception invoked by the defendant Electroarges SA and the defendant Investments</p>

							<p>Constantin SRL. Rejects the request formulated by the claimant Bran Oil SA against the defendant Investments Constantin SRL as formulated against a person without procedural quality. Admits the request formulated by the claimant Bran Oil SA - in bankruptcy, through the judicial liquidator CITR Galati SPRL Branch, against the defendant Electroarges SA. Cancels the Decision no. 107 / 04.08.2020 of the Ordinary General Meeting of Electroarges SA's Shareholders. Cancels the Decision no. 108 / 04.08.2020 of the Extraordinary General Meeting of Electroarges SA's Shareholders. Cancels the claim made by the complainant for ordering the defendant Electroarges SA to pay the court costs. Remedy by law within 30 days from the communication.</p> <p>The settlement is not enforceable. The settlement is not final.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Intermediate term The solution in brief: Admits the request for abstention made by Judge Costinel Stăiculescu. The abstention is admitted. Rejects the request for abstention made by Judge Viorel Terzea. Without an appeal</p>
17	225/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	<p>Amatis SA, Intervener Arges Trade Register Office Attached to the Arges County Court Appellee</p>	<p>Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention in the Arges ORC case no. 50794 of 17.08.2020</p>	09.12.2020	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the exception of inadmissibility of the request with reference to the provisions of art. 148 paragraph (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, as ungrounded. Rejects the request for intervention formulated by Amattis SA as inadmissible. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication, the request is submitted to the Arges Specialized Court.</p>
18	226/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	<p>Amatis SA, Intervener Arges Trade Register Office Attached to the Arges County Court Appelle</p>	<p>Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention in the Arges ORC case no. 50756 of 17.08.2020</p>	09.12.2020	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the exception of inadmissibility of the request with reference to the provisions of art. 148 paragraph (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, as ungrounded. Rejects the request for intervention formulated by Amattis SA as inadmissible. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication, the request is submitted to the Arges Specialized Court.</p>
19	200/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	<p>Bran Oil S.A. Claimant Investments Constantin SRL defendant</p>	<p>Presidential Order suspending, in the Electroargeş SA's OGMS and the EGMS summoned for 04.08.2020, respectively 05.08.2020, the voting rights related to a number of 16,000,000 shares issued by Electroargeş SA</p>	11.08.2020	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the exception of the territorial lack of jurisdiction of the Argeş Specialized Court, as ungrounded. Rejects the objection of failure to stamp the application as ungrounded. Rejects the plea of invalidity of the application as ungrounded. Rejects the exception of the lack of proof of the quality of representative of SCA Toma și Asociații, as ungrounded. Admits the exception of lack of interest. Rejects the request for a presidential order as uninteresting. Rejects the defendants' claim that the claimant should be ordered to pay the costs as ungrounded. Admits the exception of not changing the request for intervention in its own interest, formulated by Benjamins United SRL. Cancels, as unstamped, the request for intervention in its own interest, formulated by Benjamins United SRL. With the right to appeal within 5 days from the pronouncement. The appeal request will be submitted to the Argeş Specialized Court, pronounced in accordance with the provisions of art. 396 paragraph (2) of the Code of Civil</p>

							Procedure, today, 11.08.2020.
20	232/1259/2020	Arges Specialized Court	AmattisSA, Complainant	Requests based on GEO 116/2009 requests for intervention to the application for registering mentions no. 51332 of 20.08.2020	28.10.2020	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Admits the request for intervention, formulated by the petitioner Electroarges SA, represented by Constantin Stefan - Chairman of the Board of Directors, to the request for registration no. 51332 / 20.08.2020 of the mentions in the Trade Register attached to the Arges Court regarding the company Electroarges SA, based on the decision no. 1 / 5.08.2020 of the Ordinary General Meeting of Electroarges SA's shareholders regarding administrators / proxies / legal representatives, request formulated by Balac Gheorghe, as proxy. Rejects the request for registration in the Trade Register attached to the Arges Tribunal of the mentions regarding the company Electroarges SA, based on the decision no. 1 / 5.08.2020 of the Ordinary General Meeting of Electroarges SA's shareholders, regarding administrators / proxies / legal representatives, request made by Balac Gheorghe, as proxy. Enforceable. With call within 30 days from the communication.</p>
21	97/1259/2018	Pitesti Court of Appeal	Balac Gheorge Claimant	Application for annulment of the AGA Decision Absolute nullity findings of Electroarges SA AGOA Decision no.103 of 20.09.2017	04.06.2020	Appeal	<p>Split from Case no. 441/1259/2017</p> <p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects as ungrounded the action submitted by the claimant, as specified. With appeal within 15 days from the communication.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Rejects the main appeal. Admits the incidental appeal, changes the sentence and the conclusion of 07.02.2019 in the sense that it rejects the request as being formulated by a person without active procedural capacity. Final.</p>
22	2797/2/2019	High Court of Cassation and Justice	The Financial Regulator Appellant	Annulment of Administrative Document Decision 1160 /26.09.2018	22.03.2022	Second appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects as ungrounded the lack of interest exception. Accepts the action. Cancels the Decision no. 1160/26.09.2018 and Decision no. 1366/11.08.2019. Compels the defendant to modify the decision published on the institution website in the sense of publishing the information on the appeal. Compels the defendant to pay 100 lei to the claimants as court fees. With appeal within 15 days of communication.</p>
23	5135/109/2019	Pitesti Court of Appeal	Duculescu Gheorghe Objector	Appeal against the dismissal decision	29.04.2021	Appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the appeal. With an appeal within 10 days of the communication, this will be submitted to the Arges Court.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Unfounded Solution in brief: Rejects the appeal as unfounded. Final. Pronounced by making the solution available to the parties through the court registry, today, 29.04.2021.</p>

24	5136/109/2019	Pitesti Court of Appeal	Crăciun Nicolae Objector	Appeal against the dismissal decision	14.04.2021	Appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Partially admits the appeal. Annuls the decision no. 169 / 18.09.2019 issued by the respondent and orders the reinstatement of the appellant on the position held prior to the dismissal. Obliges the respondent to pay to the appellant a compensation equal to the indexed, increased and updated salaries and to the other rights from which he would have benefited from the moment of dismissal until the date of effective reintegration. Rejects the claim for damages. Obliges the respondent to pay the court costs in the amount of 2,000 lei. Provisionally enforceable by law. With an appeal within 10 days from the communication, the appeal request will be submitted to the Arges County Court. Delivered, today, 08.07.2020, under the conditions of art.396 par.2 C.pr.civ.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Changed entirely Solution in brief: Admits the appeal. Changes the sentence, in the sense that it rejects the appeal, as unfounded. Final. Pronounced by making the solution available to the parties, by the court registry.</p>
25	22810/3/2020	Bucharest Court	Manolescu Andreea Objector	Appeal against the dismissal decision	07.04.2021	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects the application The solution in brief: Rejects the action as unfounded. Admits in part the defendant's request. Obliges the claimant to pay to the defendant the amount of 2000 lei representing partial court costs. Provisionally enforceable by law. With an appeal within 10 days from the communication, this will be submitted to the Bucharest Court - Section VIII - Civil Labor and Social Insurance Disputes, under the sanction of nullity. Pronounced in public hearing today, 07.04.2021 and made available to the parties through the court registry.</p>
26	337/1259/2014	Arges Specialized Court	Alprom SA Debtor	Insolvency procedure	08.06.2021	Merits	Pending
27	1894/121/2018	Galați County Court	Zorder Big SA Debtor	Insolvency procedure	12.08.2021	Merits	Pending
28	2167/121/2015	Galați County Court	Unisales Distribution SRL Debtor	Insolvency procedure	21.09.2021	Merits	Pending
29	11182/63/2013	Dolj County Court	Celule Electrice SA Debtor	Insolvency procedure	06.07.2021	Merits	Pending
30	2349/97/2009	Hunedoara County Court	Comteh Prodimpex SRL Debtor	Insolvency procedure	17.06.2021	Merits	Pending
31	1395/2/2018	High Court of Cassation and Justice	Environmental Fund Administration Defendant		29.03.2022	Second appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Partially admits. Partially cancels the appeal settlement decision no. 69/30.08.2017 and the tax decision no. 84/07.06.2017 on the additional obligations in relation with the companies Gerocri Arges 2006 SRL, Total Racolav Carton Plast SRL and Recycling Mondo Plast SRL, the claimant's tax situation</p>

				Annulment of Administrative Document Decision 84/07/06/2017			following to be reassessed in the light of the considerations of this sentence. Compels the defendant to pay 100 lei to the claimant as stamp duty, 1500 lei as expert fees, proportional to the admitted claims and the amount of 5000 lei as lawyer fees, proportional to the admitted claims, as court costs. Partially approves the request for increasing the expert fees for the sum of 4000 lei. Compels the claimant to pay 4000 lei to the expert as difference in fee. With appeal within 15 days of communication.
32	6692/109/2019	Pitești Court of Appeal	Badea Nicolae Lucian Claimant	Appeal against dismissal decision DECISION NO. 244/25.10.2019	09.03.2021	Appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Partially admits the appeal. Cancels the decision no. 244 / 25.10.2019 issued by the respondent and orders the reintegration of the appellant on the position held prior to the dismissal. Obliges the respondent to pay to the claimant an indemnity equal to the indexed, increased and updated salaries, as well as to the other rights from which he would have benefited as an employee, from 25.10.2019 until the date of effective reintegration. Dismisses the claim for non-pecuniary damage as ungrounded. Obliges the respondent to pay to the claimant the amount of 2000 lei, as court costs. Provisionally enforceable by law. With an appeal within 10 days from the communication.</p> <p>Settlement in appeal: Unfounded Solution in brief: Rejects the appeal as unfounded. Final. Pronounced by making the solution available to the parties, by the court registry, today, March 9, 2021, at the Pitești Court of Appeal - Civil Section I</p>
33	7395/101/2015	Mehedinți Court	Mecanoenergetica SA Debtor	Insolvency procedure	16.06.2021	Merits	Pending
34	3037/98/2010	Ialomița Court	Selikat Grup SRL Urziceni Debtor	Insolvency procedure	14.05.2021	Merits	Pending
35	7647/2/2018	Bucharest Court of Appeal	Environmental Fund Administration Defendant	Annulment of Administrative Document Decision no. 294/28.02.2018	17.12.2019	Second appeal	<p>Settlement on the merits: Rejects as ungrounded the objection of lack of interest relied on by the defendant. Rejects the action as ungrounded. With the right to appeal within 15 days from the communication.</p>

36	303/1285/2020	CLUJ Specialized Court	Gheorghe Chirila (deceased)	Claims, split from case 1058/1285/ 2018	06.04.2021	Merits	<p>Settlement on the merits: Ruling The solution in brief: It admits the exception of obsolescence and, consequently, finds obsolete the request for summons filed by the claimant E SA, in contradiction with the defendant CG. With the right to appeal within 5 days from the pronouncement, an appeal that will be submitted to the Cluj Specialized Court. Pronounced in public hearing on 6.04.2021.</p>
37	7777/109/2020	Arges Court	Enescu Elena Objector	Appeal against dismissal decision	12.10.2021	Merits	Pending
38	957/216/2021/ a1	Curtea de Argeş Court of Law	Constantinescu Gabriel Defendant	measures and exceptions ordered by the judge of the preliminary chamber	21.09.2021	Merits	Pending

**VICEPRESIDENT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
ROXANA SCARLAT**